

| | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/596,463 | VAN STEVENDAAL ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | ALI BAYAT | 2624 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) ALI BAYAT. (3) _____.

(2) Michael J. Corrigan (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

Yes

Prior art documents discussed:

No

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called Mr. Corrigan that applicantion is in allowable condition if he remove the potential 101 rejection for claims 10-11, and the potential rejection will be overcome by adding "non-transitory" to claims 10-11 and agreement was reached.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ali Bayat/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)